

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,329	12/01/2003	Erik E. Emstad	1292.1228101	3659
33-469 1298-2008 CROMPTON, 556-GER & TUFITE, ILC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER	
			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/726,329	EMSTAD ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Review	JAMES L. SWIGER III	3775

This

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 11/7/20	08.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
The request does not incli	not been filed concurrent with the Pre ude reasons why a review is appropria included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ite.
	se continues to run from the receipt de nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal ha	
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not	at Appeals and Interferences – A Pre er appeal because there is at least on if in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. if in orm mailing this decision, or the balancies ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Fi 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date ble.	e actual issue for appeal. Applican The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of th
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con	d the status of the claim(s) is as follow	vs:
	conference has been held. The rejecti tion on the merits remains closed. No	
	onference has been held. The rejectio ction is required by applicant at this ti	
All participants:		
(1) JAMES L. SWIGER.	(3) <u>Thomas Ban</u>	rett.
(2) Eduardo C. Robert.	(4)	
/JAMES L SWIGER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Thomas C. Barrett/ TQAS TC3700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081114